

Topological Order in Frustrated Josephson Junction Arrays

M. Cristina Diamantini* and Pasquale Sodano†

INFN and Dipartimento di Fisica, University of Perugia, via A. Pascoli, I-06100 Perugia, Italy

Carlo A. Trugenberger‡

SwissScientific.com, ch. Diodati 10 CH-1223 Cologne, Switzerland

(Dated: June 2, 2008)

We show that electrically and magnetically frustrated Josephson junction arrays (JJAs) realize topological order with a non-trivial ground state degeneracy on manifolds with non-trivial topology. The low-energy theory has the same gauge dynamics of the unfrustrated JJAs but for different, "fractional" degrees of freedom, a principle reminiscent of Jain's composite electrons in the fractional quantum Hall effect.

PACS numbers: 11.10.z;74.20.Mn;05.30.Pr

The idea of quantum order has its origin in the discovery of the fractional quantum Hall liquids [1], that are incompressible and exist only at some "magical" filling fractions. The internal order characterizing these states is a new type of order, called topological order, different from any other previously known type of order and it is not based on spontaneous symmetry breaking. Quantum order describes in general the zero-temperature properties of a quantum ground state and characterizes universality classes of quantum states, described by *complex* ground state wave-functions [2]. Topological order is a special type of quantum order whose hallmarks are the presence of a gap for all excitations (incompressibility) and the degeneracy of the ground state on manifolds with non-trivial topology [2]. In the case of the fractional quantum Hall effect, which is a (2+1)-dimensional system, another hallmark is the presence of excitations with fractional spin and statistics, called anyons [3]. The long-distance properties of these topological fluids can be explained by an infinite-dimensional $W_{1+\infty}$ dynamical symmetry [4], and are described by effective Chern-Simons field theories [5] with compact gauge group, which break P- and T-invariance. In fact, the simplest example of a topological fluid [6] is a ground-state described by a low-energy effective action given solely by the topological Chern-Simons term [7] $S = k/4\pi \int d^3x A_\mu \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \partial_\nu A_\alpha$ for a compact $U(1)$ gauge field A_μ whose dual field strength $F^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} \partial_\nu A_\alpha$ is interpreted as the conserved matter current. In this case the degeneracy of the ground state on a manifold of genus g will be k^g (or $(k_1 k_2)^g$ if $k = k_1/k_2$ is a rational number). Topological order in conventional superconductors has been investigated in [8].

In [9] we proposed a new superconductivity mechanism which is based on a topologically ordered ground state rather than on the usual Landau mechanism of spon-

taneous symmetry breaking. Contrary to anyon superconductivity it works in any dimension and it preserves P- and T-invariance. The topologically ordered superconductors we proposed have a long-distance hydrodynamic action which can be entirely formulated in terms of generalized compact gauge fields, the dominant term being the topological BF action [10]. In (2+1) dimensions, it reduces to a mixed Chern-Simons model [11].

A first concrete example of topological superconductors is provided by JJAs. In [12], we have proven that planar JJAs can be exactly mapped onto an Abelian gauge theory with a mixed Chern-Simons term (BF-model) which completely determines the low energy dynamics. The Abelian gauge theory exactly reproduces the phase diagram of JJAs and the insulator/superconductor quantum phase transition at $T = 0$ [13]. JJAs, **and fully frustrated JJA ladders** [14], have also been recently considered by several other authors [15, 16] as devices exhibiting topological order. For planar unfrustrated JJAs, however, the topological fluid is described by a $k = 1$ mixed Chern-Simons model and, thus, there is no degeneracy of the ground state [9, 12].

In [17] we argued that frustrated JJAs may support a topologically ordered ground state with non-trivial degeneracy on higher genus surfaces. In this paper we give an exact derivation of the gauge theory that describes Josephson junction arrays in presence of electric and magnetic frustration. We will then show, for rational frustration, how this can be rewritten as the same dynamics of the unfrustrated model but for different degrees of freedom, a principle analogous to Jain's composite electrons familiar from the fractional quantum Hall effect. The only difference is the Chern-Simons coefficient, determined by the denominator of the frustration: as we anticipated this leads to a ground state degeneracy for arrays with non-trivial topology.

We shall consider JJAs fabricated on a square planar lattice of spacing $l = 1$ made of superconducting islands with nearest neighbours Josephson couplings of strength E_J [13]. Each island has a capacitance C_0 to the ground; moreover, there are nearest neighbours capacitances C . To implement a torus topology we impose doubly pe-

*Electronic address: cristina.diamantini@pg.infn.it

†Electronic address: pasquale.sodano@pg.infn.it

‡Electronic address: ca.trugenberger@bluewin.ch

riodic conditions at the boundary of the square lattice. The Hamiltonian describing the system is, in the limit $C \gg C_0$:

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} N^2 E_C p_0 \frac{1}{-\Delta} p_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{x}, i} E_J (1 - \cos N (\Delta_i \Phi)) , \quad (1)$$

where $E_C \equiv q_e^2/2C$. The phases Φ are quantum-mechanically conjugated to the charges Q on the islands: these are quantized in integer multiples of N (Cooper pairs for $N = 2$): $Q = q_e N p_0$, $p_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ where q_e is the electron charge. In [12] we have shown that the zero-temperature partition function of JJAs may be written in terms of two effective gauge fields A_μ (vector) and B_μ (pseudovector). In the low energy limit the partition function is

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{\substack{\{Q_0\} \\ \{M_0\}}} (\delta M_0) \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \int \mathcal{D}B_\mu \exp(-S) , \\ S &= \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -i \frac{1}{2\pi} A_\mu K_{\mu\nu} B_\nu + \\ &+ i A_0 Q_0 + i B_0 M_0 . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

This form of the partition function holds true also with toroidal boundary conditions. The gauge fields, with compactification radius 2π , embody the original degrees of freedom through their dual field strengths $p_\mu \propto K_{\mu\nu} B_\nu$ and $q_\mu \propto K_{\mu\nu} A_\nu$ representing, respectively, the conserved charge (vector) current and the conserved vortex (axial) current. $K_{\mu\nu}$ is the lattice Chern-Simons term [18], defined by $K_{00} = 0$, $K_{0i} = -\epsilon_{ij} d_j$, $K_{i0} = S_i \epsilon_{ij} d_j$ and $K_{ij} = -S_i \epsilon_{ij} \partial_0$, in terms of forward (backward) shift and difference operators S_i ($\hat{S}_i$) and d_i ($\hat{d}_i$). Its conjugate $\hat{K}_{\mu\nu}$ is defined by $\hat{K}_{00} = 0$, $\hat{K}_{0i} = -\hat{S}_i \epsilon_{ij} \hat{d}_j$, $\hat{K}_{i0} = \epsilon_{ij} \hat{d}_j$ and $\hat{K}_{ij} = -\hat{S}_j \epsilon_{ij} \partial_0$. The two Chern-Simons kernels $K_{\mu\nu}$ and $\hat{K}_{\mu\nu}$ are interchanged upon integration (summation) by parts on the lattice.

The topological excitations are described by the integer-valued fields Q_0 and M_0 and represent unit charges and vortices rendering the gauge field components A_0 and B_0 integers via the Poisson summation formula; their fluctuations determine the phase diagram [12]. In the classical limit the magnetic excitations are dilute and the charge excitations condense, rendering the system a superconductor: vortex confinement amounts here to the Meissner effect. In the quantum limit, the magnetic excitations condense while the charged ones become dilute: the system exhibits insulating behavior due to vortex superconductivity accompanied by a charge Meissner effect.

In presence of an homogeneous charge frustration, represented by external charges p_f on the islands (1) be-

comes:

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} N^2 E_C (p_0 - p_f) \frac{1}{-\Delta} (p_0 - p_f) + \sum_{\mathbf{x}, i} E_J (1 - \cos N (\Delta_i \Phi)) , \quad (3)$$

Following the same steps as in [12] we can write the partition function for the JJAs as:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{\{Q_0\}} \int \mathcal{D}a_\mu \int \mathcal{D}b_\mu \exp(-S) , \\ S &= \int dt \sum_x -i2\pi a_\mu K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu + \frac{p_i^2}{2l_0 E_J} + \\ &+ N^2 l_0 E_C (p_0 - p_f) \frac{1}{-\Delta} (p_0 - p_f) + i2\pi a_0 Q_0 , \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with $p_\mu \equiv K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu$, $b_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $q_\mu \equiv \hat{K}_{\mu\nu} a_\nu$, $a_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$

In this representation $K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu$ represents the conserved three-current of charges, while $\hat{K}_{\mu\nu} a_\nu$ represents the conserved three-current of vortices. a_μ and b_μ are compact gauge fields with period 1. The third term in the action (4) contains two parts: the longitudinal part $(p_i^L)^2$ describes the Josephson currents and represents a kinetic term for the charges; the transverse part $(p_i^T)^2$ can be rewritten as a Coulomb interaction term for the vortex density q_0 by solving the Gauss law enforced by the Lagrange multiplier b_0 .

The partition function (4) displays a high degree of symmetry between the charge and the vortex degrees of freedom. The only term which breaks this symmetry (apart from the integers Q_0) is encoded in the kinetic term for the charges (Josephson currents). Following [12] we introduce the self-dual approximation of JJAs by adding to the action in (4) a bare kinetic term for the vortices $\sum_x \frac{\pi^2}{N^2 l_0 E_C} q_i^2$. The coefficient is chosen so that the transverse part of this term reproduces exactly the Coulomb term for the charges upon solving the Gauss law enforced by the Lagrange multiplier a_0 .

In order to exactly reproduce the Coulomb term, including the frustration, we need to add an extra $i2\pi a_0 p_f$. The action then becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{SD} &= \int dt \sum_x -i2\pi a_\mu K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu + \frac{p_i^2}{2l_0 E_J} + \frac{\pi^2 q_i^2}{N^2 l_0 E_C} + \\ &+ i2\pi a_0 p_f + i2\pi a_0 Q_0 + i2\pi b_0 M_0 , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where we are also forced to introduce new integers M_0 via the Poisson formula to guarantee that the charge current $K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu$ remains an integer (note that, without the kinetic term for the vortices, both conserved currents are integers: indeed, the summation over $\{Q_0\}$ makes a_0 , and then the summation over $\{a_0\}$ makes $K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu$ an integer). Since the properties of the system are periodic with respect to the charges, we can restrict $-1/2 \leq p_f \leq 1/2$ [19]: henceforth we have $p_f = p/q$. Since we are interested only on the ground state, we will consider only to

the low energy limit. In this limit the partition function becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{LE} &= \sum_{\substack{\{Q_0\} \\ \{M_0\}}} \delta(M_0) \int \mathcal{D}a_\mu \int \mathcal{D}b_\mu \exp(-S_{LE}), \\ S_{LE} &= \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -i2\pi a_\mu K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu \\ &\quad + i2\pi a_0 Q_0 + i2\pi b_0 M_0 + i2\pi a_0 \frac{p}{q}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The effect of charge frustration, the last term in (6), can be reabsorbed as follows. We first change the compactification radius of the gauge field a_0 from the standard 1 to q . As usual, this corresponds to admitting fractional charges N/q in the model (in order to keep with standard notation we rescale $a_\mu \rightarrow qa'_\mu$, where a_μ has again period 1). Correspondingly we have to rescale the magnetic topological excitations $M_0 \rightarrow qM_0$ in order to be able to absorb integer shifts in the rescaled a_μ . This corresponds to having a condensate of fractionally charged particles N/q while the magnetic excitations have fractional (in units of the magnetic flux quantum $2\pi q/N$) vortices $2\pi/N$. Since the magnetic topological excitations qM_0 represents tunneling between vortex sectors differing by q units $2\pi/N$ we have a Z_q theory in which fractional vortices and charges have mutual fractional statistics $2\pi/q$ [20]. For $q=2$ such mutual anyons were considered by Kitaev [21] in his model of topological quantum computation. At this point the remaining frustration effect can be easily accounted by a redefinition

$$b'_0 = b_0; b'_i = b_i + A_i^{\text{ext}},$$

where we have written, without loss of generality, the frustration term p_f as $p_f = K_{0i} A_i^{\text{ext}}$. The action (6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_{LE} &= \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -i2\pi q a_\mu K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu \\ &\quad + i2\pi q a_0 Q_0 + i2\pi q b_0 M_0 + i2\pi q a_0 K_{0i} A_i^{\text{ext}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Since A_i^{ext} is time-independent we have $a_i K_{ij} b'_j \rightarrow a_i K_{ij} b_j$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{LE} &= \sum_{\substack{\{Q_0\} \\ \{M_0\}}} \delta(M_0) \int \mathcal{D}a_\mu \int \mathcal{D}b_\mu \exp(-S_{LE}), \\ S_{LE} &= -i \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} 2\pi q a_0 K_{0i} b'_i + 2\pi q a_i K_{i0} b_0 + \\ &\quad 2\pi q a_i K_{ij} b'_j + i2\pi q b_0 M_0 + i2\pi q a_0 Q_0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Finally, by rescaling $A_\mu = 2\pi a_\mu$, $B_\mu = 2\pi b'_\mu$ in (8), we

obtain the low energy partition function:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{LE} &= \sum_{\substack{\{Q_0\} \\ \{M_0\}}} \delta(M_0) \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \int \mathcal{D}B_\mu \exp(-S_{LE}), \\ S_{LE} &= -i \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{q}{2\pi} A_\mu K_{\mu\nu} B_\nu + \\ &\quad i q B_0 M_0 + i q A_0 Q_0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

This is the same action as for the unfrustrated case (same periodicity of the gauge fields by construction) with the only difference of a Chern-Simons coefficient q determined by the denominator of the frustration. In analogy to the Jain construction familiar from the QHE we have the same dynamics but for different degrees of freedom. This, however, affects the ground state degeneracy that is given [22] by $(k)^g = q$ on the torus.

The magnetic frustration can be treated in a similar way. In presence of a uniform magnetic frustration the action 1 becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} N^2 E_C p_0 \frac{1}{-\Delta} p_0 + \\ &\quad \sum_{\mathbf{x}, i} E_J (1 - \cos(N \Delta_i \Phi - A_i^{\text{ext}})), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with A_i^{ext} time independent and such that $\sum_{\text{plaquette}} A_i^{\text{ext}} = 2\pi f$ where $2\pi f$ is the flux piercing the elementary plaquette. Due to the periodicity properties of the system [19], we can restrict $0 \leq p_f \leq 1/2$ and rewrite: $f = p/q$. Following again the same steps as in [12], we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{\{v_\mu\}} \int \mathcal{D}p_\mu \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \exp(-S), \\ S &= \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -i N p_\mu \left(\Delta_\mu \Phi + \frac{2\pi}{N} v_\mu \right) + i p_i A_i^{\text{ext}} + \\ &\quad + i N^2 E_C l_0 p_0 \frac{1}{-\Delta} p_0 + \frac{p_i^2}{2l_0 E_J}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Introducing the compact gauge fields a_μ and b_μ , both with period 1, such that $K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu$ represents the conserved three-current of charges, and $\hat{K}_{\mu\nu} a_\nu$ represents the conserved three-current of vortices, we can rewrite the action in (11) as

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -i2\pi a_\mu K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu + \frac{\pi^2 q_i^2}{N^2 l_0 E_C} + \frac{p_i^2}{2l_0 E_J} + \\ &\quad + i b_0 \hat{K}_{0i} A_i^{\text{ext}} + i2\pi a_0 Q_0 + i2\pi b_0 M_0, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we have introduced the two integer fields M_0 and Q_0 as in (2).

The low energy partition function is:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{LE} &= \sum_{\substack{\{Q_0\} \\ \{M_0\}}} \delta(M_0) \int \mathcal{D}a_\mu \int \mathcal{D}b_\mu \exp(-S_{LE}), \\
S_{LE} &= \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -i2\pi a_\mu K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu \\
&\quad + i2\pi a_0 Q_0 + i2\pi b_0 M_0 + i2\pi b_0 \frac{p}{q}. \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Following the same steps as for charge frustration we reabsorb the effect of magnetic frustration, the last term in (13), as follows. We first change the compactification radius of the gauge field b_0 from the standard 1 to q ; this corresponds to admitting fractional fluxes $2\pi/Nq$. We then rescale $b_\mu \rightarrow qb'_\mu$, where b_μ has again period 1. Correspondingly, we have to rescale the charge topological excitations $Q_0 \rightarrow qQ_0$ in order to be able to absorb integer shifts in the rescaled b_μ . In this case we have fractional magnetic fluxes $2\pi/Nq$ while the charge excitations have fractional (in units of the electric charge quantum Nq) charge N . Since the charge topological excitations qQ_0 represents tunneling between charge sectors differing by q units N we have a Z_q theory, in agreement with the result found in [23], in which fractional vortices and charges have mutual fractional statistics $2\pi/q$. We now change variables:

$$a'_0 = a_0; a'_i = a_i + A_i^{\text{ext}},$$

with A_i^{ext} time-independent and rewrite the action in (13) as:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{LE} &= \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} -i2\pi q a'_\mu K_{\mu\nu} b_\nu + i2q\pi a'_0 Q_0 \\
&\quad + i2q\pi b_0 M_0. \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

By rescaling $A_\mu = 2\pi a'_\mu$, $B_\mu = 2\pi b_\mu$ in (14) we obtain the low energy partition function:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{LE} &= \sum_{\substack{\{Q_0\} \\ \{M_0\}}} \delta(M_0) \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \int \mathcal{D}B_\mu \exp(-S_{LE}), \\
S_{LE} &= -i \int dt \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{q}{2\pi} A_\mu K_{\mu\nu} B_\nu + iqB_0 M_0 + iqA_0 Q_0. \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

Again this is the same action as for the unfrustrated case (same periodicity of the gauge fields by construction). The only change is again the Chern-Simons coefficient q determined by the denominator of the frustration, giving a ground state degeneracy $(k)^g = q$ on the torus [22].

Planar frustrated Josephson junction arrays with toroidal boundary conditions represent the simplest example of a superconductor that exhibits topological order with non-trivial ground state degeneracies. Furthermore they are accessible experimentally [25] and thus they may be used to test the topological superconductivity mechanism proposed in [17].

The result we have obtained is very similar to the one obtained in [24] for the the frustrated Heisenberg model. Here the frustration is obtained by introducing next to nearest-neighbors interactions. For the chiral spin phase, the low energy limit is described by a Chern-Simons theory with coefficient $k = q$ if the effective flux threading the plaquette is $\phi = 2\pi\frac{q}{2}$. This is true also for $\phi = \pi$, because due to the frustration there is an effective flux $\pi/2$ on triangular plaquettes. This hints to a possible equivalence between geometric frustration and frustration induced by an external field

-
- [1] For a review see R. B. Laughlin, "The Incompressible Quantum Fluid", in *"The Quantum Hall Effect"*, R. E. Prange and S. M. Girvin eds., Springer Verlag, New York (1990).
 - [2] X.-G. Wen and Q. Niu, *Phys. Rev. B* **41** (1990) 9377; X.G. Wen *Advances in Physics* **44**, 405 (1995), cond-mat/9506066.
 - [3] For a review see: F. Wilczek, *Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity*, World Scientific, Singapore (1990).
 - [4] A. Cappelli, C.A. Trugenberger and G. Zemba, *Nucl. Phys.B* **396**,465 (1993); *Phys. Rev. Lett* **72** (1994) 1902; *Nucl. Phys.B* **448** (1995) 470.
 - [5] X.-G. Wen and A. Zee, *Nucl. Phys.***B15** (1990) 135.
 - [6] X.-G. Wen, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. B* **6** (1992) 1711, "Topological Orders and Edge Excitations in FQH states", MIT preprint 95-148; A. Zee, "From Semionics to Topological Fluids", in *"Cosmology and Elementary Particles"*, Proceedings of the 1991 Rio Piedras Winter School, D. Altschuler, J. F. Nieves, J. P. de Leon and M. Ubriaco eds., World Scientific, Singapore (1991).
 - [7] R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, *Phys. Rev.* **D23** (1981) 2291; J. Schonfeld, *Nucl. Phys.* **B185** (1981) 157; S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 975; *Ann. Phys.* (N.Y.) **140** (1982) 372.
 - [8] T.H. Hansson, V. Oganesyan and L. H. Sondhi, *Annals of Phys.* **313** (2004) 497.
 - [9] M. C. Diamantini, P. Sodano and C. A. Trugenberger, *Eur. Phys.J. B* **53**, 19 (2006), DOI:10.1140/epjb/e2006-00345-0, hep-th/0511192.
 - [10] For a review see: D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski and G. Thompson, *Phys. Rep.* **209** (1991) 129.
 - [11] M. Freedman, C. Nayak, K. Shtengel, K. Walker and Z. Wang, *Ann. Phys.* **310** (2004) 428.
 - [12] M. C. Diamantini, P. Sodano and C. A. Trugenberger, *Nucl. Phys.B* **4741** (1996) 64.
 - [13] For a review see: R. Fazio and H. van der Zant, *Phys. Rep.* **355**, 235 (2001), cond-mat/0011152.
 - [14] G. Cristofano et al., *J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp.* (2005) P03006; G. Cristofano et al., *Eur. Phys. J.* **B49** (2006)

- 83; *Phys. Lett.* **A372** (2008) 2464.
- [15] A. Stern, *Phys. Rev.B* **50** (1994) 10092.
 - [16] L.B. Ioffe, M.V. Feigel'man, A.S. Ioselevich, D. Ivanov, M. Troyer and G. Blatter, *Nature* **415** (2002) 503.
 - [17] M. C. Diamantini, P. Sodano and C. A. Trugenberger, *J. Phys.* **A39** (2006) 253.
 - [18] P. Luescher, *Nucl. Phys.* **B326** (1989) 557; D. Eliezer and G. W. Semenoff, *Ann. Phys.* (N.Y.) **217** (1992) 66; M. C. Diamantini, P. Sodano and C. A. Trugenberger, *Nucl. Phys.* **B448** (1995) 505.
 - [19] A. van Otterlo et al., *Phys. Rev.* **B48** (1993) 3316 .
 - [20] Chia-Pen Hu *IJMPB* **5** (1991)1771.
 - [21] A. Kitaev *Annals Phys.* **303** (2003) 2.
 - [22] M. Bergeron, G.W. Semenoff and R.J. Szabo, *Nucl. Phys.* **B437** (1995) 695.
 - [23] M. C. Diamantini and P. Sodano, *Phys. Rev.B* **45** (1992) 5737.
 - [24] X.G. Wen, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, *Phys.Rev.* **B39** (1989) 11413.
 - [25] We thank M. Cirillo for several discussions on the technical realization of toroidal JJAs.